

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/646,101	12/07/2000	Werner Sobek	-	8961	
7:	590 06/05/2003				
Felix J D'Ambrosio			EXAMINER		
Jones Tullar & PO Box 2266 E	ads Station		TRAN A, PI	HI DIEU N	
Arlington, VA 22202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3637	3637	
			DATE MAILED: 06/05/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	09/646,101	SOBEK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAIL INC DATE of the	Phi D A	3637			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a r y within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 M	<i>May 2003</i> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa					
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4) Claim(s) 18-35 is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 25-35 is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-24</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception to the drawing and acception to the drawing and acception to the drawing and acception at the drawing and acception to the drawing acception at the drawing acception acception acception at the drawing acception acception acception acception at the drawing acception acception acception at the drawing acceptance and acceptance acc	•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	\$ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list	rity documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) .			

Application/Control Number: 09/646,101 Page 2

Art Unit: 3637

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/19/03 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ennis(5463788).

Ennis (figures 1-3) shows a device having a shank (52), a cap (20, 18) connected to the shank having a membrane having tensile strength and low flexural strength, a circular base surface(each layer of the membrane forming a circular base surface), driving means (54, 28) for driving said membrane, wherein said membrane defining a position of rest wherein it droops limply around said shank (figure 3) and an open position wherein it assumes an essentially horizontally position under the influence of centrifugal force generated due to the rotation of the membrane by the driving means, the driving means being an electric motor(54), said driving means being located at the head of the shank, the shank being fixed against relative rotation.

Application/Control Number: 09/646,101 Page 3

Art Unit: 3637

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ennis (5463788) in view of Belanger (5127123).

Ennis shows all the claimed limitations except for the means clamping the membrane to the shank being two fixed disks.

Belanger (figure 6) shows two fixed disks clamping a membrane (35) to a shank(122).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Ennis to show the means clamping the membrane to the shank being two fixed disks because using two fixed disks to clamp the membrane to the shank would strongly secure the membrane to the shank as taught by Belanger.

Ennis as modified shows all the claimed limitations.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ennis (5463788).
 Ennis shows all the claimed limitations except for the motor being pneumatic.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Ennis to show the motor being pneumatic because pneumatic, electrical, hydraulic motors are well-known drive means for rotating a shaft.

Ennis as modified shows all the claimed limitations.

6. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ennis (5463788) in view of Fromme (36834410.

Ennis shows all the claimed limitations except for the energy supply for the driving means being received in said shank.

Fromme shows the energy supply for the driving means (24, 10) being received in the shank (14).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Ennis to show the energy supply for the driving means being received in said shank because routing an energy source through a tubular member to a driving means is well known in the art as it would enable nice, neat wire routing with the additional benefit of no wire entanglement with other surrounding moving structures.

Ennis as modified shows all the claimed limitations.

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed 5/19/03 to claims 18-24 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As the argument is virtually the same as in the previous office action, the previous arguments are stated below with an additional clarification to the membrane added below and the response to the patentable weight of the preamble "umbrella device".
- 8. In response to applicant's argument that Ennis is nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977

Application/Control Number: 09/646,101

Art Unit: 3637

F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the reference teaches a rotating membrane which opens by rotational force and drooping at rest. It thus addresses the particular problem of opening up a membrane which droops at rest. It thus can certainly be used as an umbrella device if desired.

In response to applicant's argument that Ennis does not show every claimed limitations, examiner respectfully disagreed. First of all, membrane which comprises of the sheets altogether has tensile strength, low flexural strength and a circular base surface as in figures 1 and 4. The membrane constitutes the umbrella-like cap. Secondly, the argument that "there is no continuous structure" to the brush is moot as "continuous structure" is not claimed.

With respect to applicant's argument that Ennis cannot be combined with Belanger as the "the replacement cartridges are rigged", examiner respectfully disagrees. Belanger teaches two fixed disks clamping a membrane. Thus, Belanger's teaching is sought after to teach the missing "two fixed disks clamping a membrane" in the Ennis reference. The combination is thus proper. The argument is moot.

With respect to applicant's argument that modifying Ennis with Fromme does not cure the deficiency in the Ennis vis-à-vis the claimed invention, examiner respectfully disagrees.

Ennis as modified by Fromme shows the claimed structure. The combination satisfies the claimed invention. The argument is thus moot.

Also with respect to applicant argument about the "membrane", examiner respectfully points out that the "membrane comprises of the sheets altogether" as pointed out in the office action and in the previous final office action, and altogether, the membrane has a circular base with the membrane having tensile strength, and low flexural strength.

With respect to applicant's argument about the preamble "umbrella device", a preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the structural limitations are able to stand alone, Kropa v. Robie, 187 F.2d at 152, 88 USPQ at 481 (CCPA 1951). The body of the claims as they are, can stand alone. Thus, a prior art which meets the body of the claims also meet the entire claims including the preamble. The references applied above meet the body of the claims; the claims thus are fully rejected.

Page 6

Conclusion

9. This is a RCE of applicant's earlier Application No. 09/646101. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however,

Application/Control Number: 09/646,101

Art Unit: 3637

event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of

Page 7

this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Phi D A whose telephone number is 703-306-9136. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular

communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Phi Dieu Tran A June 1, 2003

LANNA MAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Lameman